

The District Court reviewed de novo those portions of the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation to which objection was made, and reviewed the remaining Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding no error, I am of the opinion that the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and reasons for denial set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation are correct and they are hereby adopted and incorporated by reference as the Findings of the Court with the following modification:

Respondent's objection is sustained and the Petition will be dismissed "with prejudice." See *Ahmadi v. Davis*, No. H-17-3636, 2018 WL 6329663 at *1 (S.D. Tex. Dec. 3, 2018) (dismissing moot habeas claims "with prejudice"); *Chadman v. Fowler*, No. 4:17-cv-703-O, 2018 WL 4051868 at *4 (N.D. Tex. Aug. 24, 2018) (same).

Accordingly, the petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED** with prejudice as **MOOT**.

SO ORDERED this 13th day of February, 2019.


Reed O'Connor
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE